Determinants of Civil War: Excess Zeroes

J. Paul Dunne Nan Tian

School of Economics University of Cape Town South Africa

June 18, 2015

Outline

- Introduction
- Literature Overview
- Modelling Conflict
- Empirical Investigation
 - Re-estimate Elbadawi and Sambanis (2002)
 - Revisit Greed vs. Grievance debate
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Civil War has been a common place, but until recently has escaped notice of most economists.
- Since 1960, nearly 60 percent of countries have been afflicted with civil conflict.
- These wars are not only common and persistent, but is a problem of the poor.
- Incidence of conflict for poorest countries is almost 30%; for the richest, drops to less than 3% (Blattman and Miguel, 2010).
- Researchers, one question has been what causes civil war?
 - Is it greed or greivance?



Literature Overview

- Violent conflict commonly been thought to be caused by differences in religion, income, class, ethnicity.
- Following Fearon and Laitin (2003) & Collier and Hoeffler (2004) consensus - Economic factors 'Greed' - predictors of civil war, while contentious issues remain on objective grievance.
- Majority of empirical work use probit or logit model to estimate a zero-one dependent variable.
- Concern in the analysis of civil war incidence.
 - Excess number of peace observations "excess zeroes"



Literature Overview (cont.)

- Existence of excess zeroes methodological challenges:
 - Coding of ordered dependent conflict variable.
 - Observable and Latent factors that generate the high proportion of zero observations.
 - Models that don't conform to the process that generated the data (Smith and Tasiran, 2012).
- Lead to model misspecification and biased estimations (Bagozzi et al 2014).

Modelling Conflict

- Zero-inflated model (Split population model) may be more appropriate.
 - Allows one to estimate binary variables that arise from different underlying populations.
- ZI models combines two probability distributions that are presumed to jointly produce the observed data.
- Empirical Investigation
 - Re-estimate Elbadawi and Sambanis (2002)
 - Revisit Greed vs. Grievance debate

Modelling Conflict (cont.)

- Zeroes reflect different states
 - Complete Peace
 - In-complete Peace
 - In-complete War
- Model different populations using split-population model (Harris and Zhao, 2007) (Vance and Ritter, 2014) -Zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP).
- Estimation follows two stages
 - Probit selection equation
 - Poisson equation
- Observations are split into two processes, each having different sets of explanatory variables.

Modelling Conflict (cont.)

- Split between process 0 ($w_i = 0$) and process 1 ($w_i = 1$).
 - Zeroes in process 0: zero observations (inflated) never conflict
 - Zeroes in process 1: probability of transition to conflict is not zero
- w_i relates to w_i^* such that $w_i=1$ for $w_i^*>0$ and $w_i=0$ for $w_i^*\leq 0$
- The latent variable w_i^* represents the propensity to enter process 1 and is given by the split-probit (1st stage) equation:

$$w_i^* = x_i \gamma + \mu_i \tag{1}$$

• x_i is vector of covariates, γ is vector of coefficients and μ_i is the error term.



Modelling Conflict (cont.)

 The outcome equation of the ZIP, developed from a Poisson equation is defined as:

$$\Pr(Y_i = y_i) = \begin{cases} p_i + (1 - p_i)^{e^{(-\lambda_i)}} &, y_i = 0\\ (1 - p_i)^{e^{(-\lambda_i)}} \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i}}{y!_i} &, y_i > 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

- see Lambert (1992)
- Probability of a zero observation in (2) is modelled conditional on:
 - Probability of it being asigned a value of 0 in the Poisson process
 - 2 The probability of it being in process 0 from (1) or the splitting equation



Empirical Investigation

Re-estimate Elbadawi and Sambanis (2002)

- Elbadawi and Sambanis (2002) predict civil war prevalence based on opportunities for rebellion against its constraints.
- Opportunities are divided into greed vs. grievance (rebellions that generate profit vs. rebellions triggered by grievance).
- Probit model 150 countries, 1960-1999
- Find civil war prevalence to be consistent with earlier studies on war onset and duration.
 - Greed matters, greivance does not.
- Given possible heterogeneous zeroes ZIP may be more appropriate.

Elbadawi and Sambanis Revisited

	(1) Probit	(2) ZIP		(3) Probit	(4) ZIP	
Variable	Outcome	Outcome	Inflation	Outcome	Outcome	Inflation
Pri Exports/GDP	10.53*	9.488*	-2.276	10.57**	10.14**	
. ,	(4.136)	(4.341)	(5.061)	(3.835)	(0.020)	
Pri Exports/GDP ²	-21.24*	-23.41*		-20.79*	-22.68*	
	(9.325)	(11.31)		(8.646)	(8.966)	
Log RGDP	-0.003**	-0.004**	0.002*	-0.002*	-0.002*	0.001*
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)
Δ RGDPPC	-0.090**	-0.122**		-0.072**	-1.054**	
	(0.029)	(0.034)		(0.027)	(0.021)	
Polity Index (1lag)	-0.012	0.014		-0.011	0.034*	0.296*
	(0.020)	(0.018)		(0.018)	(0.059)	(0.044)
Polity Index ² (1lag)	-0.003	0.004		0.003	-0.009*	
	(0.004)	(0.004)		(0.004)	(0.003)	
Ethno Diversity	0.039	0.066**	-0.231**			
	(0.026)	(0.018)	(0.076)			
Ethno Diversity ²	0.004	-0.001**	0.002**			
	(0.003)	(0.000)	(0.001)			
Ethnic Dom.				0.362	0.389*	
				(0.291)	(0.177)	
War in Past 10 Years				0.735**	1.442**	
				(0.214)	(0.235)	

Notes: Standard Errors in Parentheses, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05, † p<0.1



Empirical Investigation (cont.)

Revisit Greed vs. Grievance debate

- Strong case for ZI model over standard probit or logit.
- Move onto estimating a more general greed-grievance model based on Collier and Hoeffler (2004) and Fearon and Laitin (2003).
- 134 countries, 1960-2013.
- Data Sources: WB, Penn World Tables 8.0, WTO and various authour databases.

Empirical Investigation (cont.)

- Opportunity variables
 - Income
 - Natural resource dependence
 - Mountainous Terrain
- Grievance variables
 - Ethnic and religious hatred
 - Political repression
 - Income inequality
- Control variables
 - Population
 - Cold war dummy

Greed and Grievance Revisited

	(1) Probit	(2) ZIP		(3) ZIP	
Variable	Outcome	Outcome	Inflation	Outcome	Inflation
Pri Exports/GDP	-4.145*	-2.842**	10.377**	-5.243**	
•	(1.107)	(0.819)	(2.231)	(0.747)	
Pri Exports/GDP ²	6.593**	3.177*	-19.618**	7.324**	
	(1.571)	(1.257)	(3.420)	(1.240)	
Log RGDP	-0.129*	-0.962**	1.129**	-0.988**	2.551**
	(0.063)	(0.038)	(0.110)	(0.032)	(0.386)
ΔRGDPPC	-2.396**	-2.242**	2.392*	-2.697**	9.793 [†]
	(0.506)	(0.473)	(1.059)	(0.432)	(5.412)
Log % Mountainous Terrain	0.020	0.060^{\dagger}	0.077	0.057*	
	(0.094)	(0.025)	(0.072)	(0.025)	
Polity Index	0.004	0.051*	-0.270**	0.017**	-0.534*
	(0.007)	(0.026)	(0.074)	(0.006)	(0.218)
Polity Index ²	-0.010**	-0.013**	0.003	-0.009**	0.070*
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.008)	(0.001)	(0.029)
Ethno Fractionalisation (CH)	0.032	0.014^{\dagger}	-0.084**	0.011^{\dagger}	-0.043**
	(0.024)	(0.008)	(0.014)	(0.006)	(0.005)
Ethno Fractionalisation ² (CH)	-0.001	-0.001^{\dagger}	0.003**	-0.001**	
	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)	
Ethnic Dominance	0.326	0.357**	-0.123	0.298**	-0.255
	(0.414)	(0.111)	(0.233)	(0.098)	(0.283)
Religious Fractionalisation	-0.272	1.085**	-3.393	-0.193	
	(0.682)	(0.239)	(2.183)	(0.171)	

Notes:Standard Errors in Parentheses, ** p<0.01,* p<0.05,† p<0.1



Greed and Grievance Revisited - Income Inequality

	(1) Probit	(2) ZIP		
Variable	Outcome	Outcome	Inflation	
Pri Exports/GDP	-4.335**	-3.783**		
Pri Exports/GDP ²	(1.100) 6.581**	(0.854) 4.045**		
Log RGDP	(1.569) -0.180*	(1.453) -1.002**	1.115**	
ΔRGDPPC	(0.073) -2.537**	(0.032)	(0.208) 2.035*	
Log % Mountainous Terrain	(0.507) 0.013 (0.093)	(0.543) 0.096* (0.028)	(1.037)	
Polity Index	0.004	0.045**	-0.098*	
Polity Index ²	(0.007) -0.010**	(0.007) -0.012**	(0.051) 0.023*	
Ethno Fractionalisation (CH)	(0.001) 0.040^{\dagger}	(0.001) 0.026**	(0.006) -0.013	
Ethno Fractionalisation ² (CH)	(0.022) -0.001	(0.005) -0.001**	(0.085)	
Largest Discriminated Ethnic Group	(0.001) 0.821**	(0.000) 1.161**	-19.76**	
	(0.220)	(0.173)	(3.415) 1.346**	
Positive Horizontal Inequality	(0.150)	(0.070)	(0.383)	
Negative Horizontal Inequality	0.561** (0.245)	0.088* (0.045)	-0.969** (0.268)	

Notes:Standard Errors in Parentheses, ** p<0.01,* p<0.05,† p<0.1



Empirical Investigation (cont.)

- Robustness of the results were considered.
- Other specification variants include:
 - replacing primary commodity exports with proxies mineral depedence, oil production and oil export
 - replacing Polity IV with freedom house index
 - replacing GDP measures with life expectancy and urbanisation rate
 - add Africa dummy
- Results are relatively robust.
- ZI models preferred to Probit.
 - Vuong Test, AIC, Lower Standard Errors, Higher Log-likelihood

Empirical Investigation - Result Summary

- Results are two-fold:
 - Oivil war can be explained by both Greed and Grievance.
 - 2 ZI models out performs probit and logit and is able to account for different types of zeroes.
 - Inflation equation: different types of peace observations and probability of peace.
 - Outcome equation: more accurate estimates than probits or logits.
- ZI models gives greed and grievance variables equal emphasis.
 - Previous estimates biased more weight on opportunity variables
 - Probit and logit gave likelihood of war calculations that included countries conditioned to not experience civil war.
 - High income countries in "always zero"
 - Income variables crowded out grievance variables.



Conclusion

- Highlights the possible impact of using a standard probit models when the dependent variable has excess zeroes.
 - Zeroes are not homogenous
- More satisfactory approach ZI models
- Data Replication of Elbadawi and Sambanis (2002) showed differing results:
 - Grievance terms became significant
- Revisit greed-greivance gave further support for the need to recognise problem of excess zeroes.
 - Grievance (polity and inequality) terms became significant
 - Lower standard errors
- Implications:
 - A need to recognise heterogeneity in the excess zeroes.
 - If these models used earlier, the trajectory of the greed-grievance debate might be very different.



Thank You!

Comments and Suggestions